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Abstract: This study sought to investigate the relationship between job satisfaction and organizational commitment among employees of a selected tertiary educational institution at Northwest of Haiti. A questionnaire was used to collect data from 55 employees. It was found that there is a moderate level of job satisfaction and high level of continuance and normative commitment among employees. It was also found that there is a strong positive relationship between job satisfaction and affective commitment and a weak positive relationship between job satisfaction and normative commitment. It was therefore recommended that administrators should seek to find ways and means to provide extrinsic and intrinsic motivating factors in order to prevent job dissatisfaction with regrettable consequences for the institution when employees may decide to leave their organization.
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Introduction

Teachers are the “central element in the educational system, holding various vital responsibilities” (Getahun, Tefera & Burichew, 2016, p. 381). Teachers are the key players who ensure achievement of goals and objectives of the organization and they are key people who mold the students to excel toward their future career (Nigama, Selvabaskar & Surulivel, 2018). According to Getahun, Tefera & Burichew (2016), schools' overall performance depends upon teachers’ level of commitment which can be affected by job satisfaction. They also mention that job satisfaction amongst teachers is a multifaceted construct imperative for the retention of teachers and is a significant determinant of teacher commitment and therefore a contributor to school effectiveness. Teachers would have excellent job performance when the organizational commitment is high (GuiXia, 2019).

Getahun, Tefera and Burichew (2016) found that teachers increasingly leave the profession after a few years in service. They further present the fact that worldwide studies have found that teachers are exposed to the highest level of job-related stress and are less satisfied with their jobs than any other professional group. They also pointed out that teacher’s job satisfaction and commitment have been among the pressing educational issues. Lastly, they emphasized that job satisfaction is a crucial problem for all organizations whether public or private.

Research conducted by Aloi (2013) in Haiti found that there is a lack of workers’ satisfaction whereby the workers are not really satisfied with the working conditions. Wolff (2008) declared that teachers in Haiti are not paid on time and some have not been paid over a year, the selection process is precarious, school directors play little or no significant role towards the teachers, and there are few sanctions and little oversight regarding the teachers. Carlson et al. (2011) stated that “it is tough to attract and retain qualified teachers within the existing structure, especially in the public sector, where teachers sometimes work for many months without receiving earned compensation” (p. 13).

Therefore, recruiting teachers and motivating them to remain in their jobs present a challenge to
educational institutions in Haiti. Given the
importance of workers’ satisfaction to the health of
an organization and the link between job
satisfaction and turnover rate, the examination of
job satisfaction at the selected tertiary education
institution in Northwest Haiti becomes a vexing
issue demanding attention. The primary focus of
this study was therefore to determine the
relationship between the level of job satisfaction
and the level of organizational commitment of
employees in the selected tertiary education
institution in Northwest Haiti. The study sought to
answer the following research questions:

1. What is the level job satisfaction of the
employees of the selected tertiary
institution in Northwest Haiti?
2. What is the level job commitment of the
employees of the selected tertiary
institution in Northwest Haiti?
3. Is there a significant relationship between
levels of job satisfaction and organizational
commitment of the employees of the
selected tertiary institution in Northwest
Haiti?

Literature Review
This section presents the concepts of Job
Satisfaction, Organizational Commitment and the
relationship between Job Satisfaction and
Organizational Commitment.

The Concept Job Satisfaction
Job satisfaction is one of the most extensively
researched areas in organizational literature
because of its correlation to performance and
productivity (UKEssays, 2018). According to
Getahun, Tefera and Burichew (2016), job
satisfaction of teachers has been a focus of
attention for educational researchers; this is
because of the prevailing link between job
satisfaction and organizational behavior that might
be explained in terms of commitment, absenteeism,
turnover, efficiency and productivity. According to
Morzaria (2019), job satisfaction plays a crucial role
in the organization's well-being. This can be realized
in an employee who sees his or her job as fulfilling
and enjoyable, thus taking it with the importance
deserved, unlike that employee who does not give
his commitment since he or she feels dissatisfied.

According to Munir, Hashim, Ali, Rahman and
Rahman (2014), job satisfaction has been defined
differently by various scholars. According to Spector
(2020), job satisfaction is the extent to which
employees like their jobs and different aspects of
their jobs. Employees can have an overall feeling
about the job—bottom-line satisfaction or
dissatisfaction. However, they do not necessarily
feel the same about all aspects of the job. They
might be happy with some parts of the job and not
others.

Organizational Commitment
According to Akoto and Allida (2018) teachers’
commitment is a critical aspect in determining the
success of education reform and school
effectiveness because highly committed teachers
are willing to contribute their extra effort to achieve
the school vision and goals. Further, organizational
commitment is very important especially in this
modern time when most teachers seek “greener
pastures.” As such, organizational commitment is
the level of allegiance an employee feels for his
employer (Donald, Lucia & Victor, 2016). The
authors further highlighted that employees with
greater level of organizational commitment are
more productive, compatible, have more loyalty
towards their work, possess more responsibility and
satisfaction.

There are three types of commitment namely,
affective, normative and continuance. Affective
commitment refers to employees’ emotional
connection to their employer, which generates a
sense of belonging to, identification with and loyalty
toward the organization. Employees who identify
with their employer have a greater desire to remain
in the organization (Lam & Liu, 2014, as cited in
Brown & Barker, 2019). Normative commitment
reflects employees’ perceived moral obligation to
stay with an organization, a willingness generated
by a sense of duty and compulsion (Meyer & Allen,
1997; Taing, Granger, Groff, Jackson & Johnson,
Continuance commitment emerges from
employees’ assessment of opportunities associated
with remaining with an organization compared to
the cost of leaving the employment (Meyer & Allen,
1997; Brown & Barker, 2019).

Satisfaction and Commitment
While job satisfaction is viewed as a pleasure or a
positive emotional state resulting from the
satisfactory evaluation of one’s job or work
experiences (Locke, 1976; Leung, Chen, Yu, 2008),
commitment denotes an identification, an
emotional attachment and an implication of the
individual in the organization (Meyer et al., 2002).
Researchers have found a healthy and positive
relationship between the two (Asan & Ozyer, 2008; Patrick & Sonia, 2012).

Job satisfaction has been found to have a significant favorable influence on emotional engagement (Karatepe, Arasli, & Khan, 2007; Newman & Sheikh, 2012). According to Rifai (2005), job satisfaction has a significant impact on the development of emotional commitment through which it has a specific effect on the behavior of organizational citizenship. Thus, job satisfaction is a potent mediator in the relationship between employability and emotional organizational commitment (Yousaf & Sanders, 2012).

Furthermore, emotional commitment and job satisfaction are both moderated by the perception of organizational support in their relationship to participation in decision-making (Wickramasinghe & Wickramasinghe, 2012). Both contribute to lowering the level of intention to leave the organization (Anton, 2009; Cho and Huang, 2012; Karatepe et al., 2007; Pepe, 2010). They are both directly and positively influenced by psychological empowerment (Tolay et al., 2012) and negatively related to job insecurity (De Cuyper, Notelaers, & De Witte, 2009). According to Clugston (2000), emotional commitment relates to the ‘wish’ of the employee because the employee’s values and goals are congruent to the organization and there is a strong identification and attachment to the organization. So the scientific literature rightly admits that of the three dimensions of organizational commitment, affective commitment is the most strongly correlated with job satisfaction (Meyer, Stanley, Herscovitch & Topolnytsky, 2002).

Research Methodology
This study employed a quantitative research approach using descriptive-correlational design. It sought to describe the levels of job satisfaction and organizational commitment of faculty of a selected tertiary education institution in Northwest, Haiti and also determined if there is a significant relationship between job satisfaction and organizational commitment.

Population and Sampling
The study was conducted in an educational institution in Haiti composed of mixed college reformers. The study respondents were fifty-five (55) employees of a selected tertiary education institutions in Northwest Haiti who participated in assessing the relationship between job satisfaction and organizational commitment among employees. A purposive sampling technique was applied in the identification of the employees. All the 55 tertiary institution employees in Northwest Haiti participated in the study.

Two questionnaires were used to collect data for this study. Employees’ level of job satisfaction was measured by adopting items from the Minnesota Satisfaction Questionnaire (MSQ20: the shortened version of MSQ, which consisted of 20 items. The participants were asked to rate the extent to which they agreed with each item on a five point Likert-type scale ranging from very dissatisfied (1) to very satisfied (5). According to Martin (2007), the version of the MSQ used in this study has been used and validated by several researchers such as Eker et al., 2008; Holcomb-McCoy and Addison-Bradley, 2005; Robert, Young, and Kelly, 2006; Toomey et al., 2009). In addition, its reliability coefficients (i.e., internal consistency) varied between .87 and .92 (Holcomb-McCoy & Addison-Bradley, 2005).

To measure the organizational commitment of the employees, the Organizational Commitment Questionnaire which measures the affective commitment scale, continuance commitment scale and normative commitment of the employees was used. The participants were asked to rate the extent to which they agreed with each item on a five point Likert-type scale ranging from Strongly Disagree (1) to Strongly Agree (5). Allen and Meyer (1991) report the alpha of 0.87 for affective commitment, 0.79 for normative and 0.75 for continuance.

Findings of the Study
This section presents the results of the study and gives the discussion of findings following the research questions that guided the study.

The Level of Job Satisfaction of Employees
The first research question in this study stated: what is the level job satisfaction of the employees of the selected tertiary institution in Northwest Haiti? Table 1 (p. 36) presents the result of data analysis about the level of job satisfaction of the respondents. Overall, it shows that employees were “Somewhat Satisfied” with their employment in their institution. Another important finding is that extrinsic satisfaction having the mean score of 2.48 (Somewhat satisfied) is much lower than intrinsic satisfaction with a mean score of 2.72 (Satisfied). It was also found that most of the items fell on the somewhat satisfied level of job satisfaction. There are only three items that belonged to satisfied as follows: the chance of being somebody in the
community; the way the boss handles his/her workers; the competence of the supervisor in making decisions. The respondents indicated that they are not satisfied with their pay and the amount of work they do.

Studies on job satisfaction are very important because most of the people spend a major portion of their life at their working place. Moreover, job satisfaction has its impact on the general life of the employees because a satisfied employee is a contented and happy human being. For example, Lee and Tao (2005) found that satisfaction with the salary and the supervisor significantly increases emotional commitment. A highly satisfied worker has a better physical and mental well-being.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Job Satisfaction Scale Items</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>Interpretation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Being able to keep busy all the time</td>
<td>1.98</td>
<td>Somewhat satisfied</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The chance to work alone on the job</td>
<td>2.07</td>
<td>Somewhat satisfied</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The chance to do different things from time to time</td>
<td>1.98</td>
<td>Somewhat satisfied</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The chance to be “somebody” in the community</td>
<td>2.85</td>
<td>Satisfied</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The way my boss handles his/her workers</td>
<td>2.87</td>
<td>Satisfied</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The competence of my supervisor in making decisions</td>
<td>3.04</td>
<td>Satisfied</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Being able to do things that don’t go against my conscience</td>
<td>2.20</td>
<td>Somewhat satisfied</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The way my job provides for steady employment</td>
<td>2.14</td>
<td>Somewhat satisfied</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The chance to do things for other people</td>
<td>2.31</td>
<td>Somewhat satisfied</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The chance to tell people what to do</td>
<td>2.36</td>
<td>Somewhat satisfied</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The chance to do something that makes use of my abilities</td>
<td>2.33</td>
<td>Somewhat satisfied</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The way company policies are put into practice</td>
<td>1.54</td>
<td>Somewhat satisfied</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>My pay and the amount of work I do</td>
<td>1.34</td>
<td>Not satisfied</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The chances for advancement on this job</td>
<td>2.45</td>
<td>Somewhat satisfied</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The freedom to use my own judgment</td>
<td>2.40</td>
<td>Somewhat satisfied</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The chance to try my own methods of doing the job</td>
<td>2.23</td>
<td>Somewhat satisfied</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The working conditions</td>
<td>1.71</td>
<td>Somewhat satisfied</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The way my co-workers get along with each other</td>
<td>2.31</td>
<td>Somewhat satisfied</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The praise I get for doing a good job</td>
<td>2.29</td>
<td>Somewhat satisfied</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The feeling of accomplishment I get from the job</td>
<td>2.29</td>
<td>Somewhat satisfied</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total for Extrinsic Satisfaction</td>
<td>2.48</td>
<td>Somewhat Satisfied</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total for Intrinsic Satisfaction</td>
<td>2.72</td>
<td>Satisfied</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Overall</strong></td>
<td><strong>2.24</strong></td>
<td><strong>Somewhat Satisfied</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The Level of Organizational Commitment

The second research question stated: What is the level job commitment of the employees of the selected tertiary institution in Northwest Haiti? Table 2 (p. 37) shows the descriptive statistics for employees’ commitment to their organization. Respondents agreed that they are committed to their institution. Comparing the three different areas of commitment, continuance commitment was rated the highest with the mean score of 4.26 followed by normative commitment with a mean score of 3.80. The lowest mean score fell on affective commitment (3.01). Another interesting finding is that in the area of affective commitment, most of the respondents were undecided or not sure.

In continuance commitment, the employee is with the organization because disassociating with the organization would result in unbearable cost or loss of investments (Javadive & Yavarian, 2012). It implies therefore that the respondents of this study were staying in the institution because there are just few better options available elsewhere where they could go to. Leaving the institution would therefore mean doing a personal sacrifice.

Normative commitment represents the employee’s wish to stay within the organization because of the notion of duty, fidelity or moral obligation (Allen & Meyer, 1991). Normative commitment is seen to be different from the other two commitments as employees may not be keen in relating to the values, missions and goals of the organization but to their own sense of loyalty and service that affects individual decision to stay within the organization (Clugston, 2000). The respondents agreed that they will feel guilty if they leave the organization by then.
Also, they believed that they owe a great deal to the institution and that it deserves their loyalty.

Affective commitment refers to employees’ emotional connection to their employer, which generates a sense of belonging to, identification with and loyalty toward the organization. Employees who identify with their employer have a greater desire to remain in the organization (Lam & Liu, 2014, as cited in Brown & Barker, 2019). The respondents were undecided when asked if they would be very happy to spend the rest of their career in their institution and also they are unsure if they have strong sense of belonging with their institution.

### Table 2: Level of Organizational Commitment of the Respondents

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Items</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>Interpretation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>I would be very happy to spend the rest of my career at the College.</td>
<td>2.91</td>
<td>Undecided</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I really feel as if this organization’s problems are my own</td>
<td>2.60</td>
<td>Undecided</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I do not feel like “part of the family” at the College</td>
<td>2.76</td>
<td>Undecided</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I do not feel “emotionally attached at the College</td>
<td>3.40</td>
<td>Undecided</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reform College has a great deal of personal meaning for me</td>
<td>2.98</td>
<td>Undecided</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I do not feel a “strong” sense of belonging to the College</td>
<td>3.42</td>
<td>Undecided</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>It would be very hard for me to leave my job at this organization</td>
<td>4.02</td>
<td>Agree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>too much of my life would be disrupted if I leave my organization</td>
<td>4.13</td>
<td>Agree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Staying with my job at this organization is a matter of necessity</td>
<td>4.27</td>
<td>Agree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I believe I have too few options to consider leaving this organization.</td>
<td>4.47</td>
<td>Agree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leaving this organization would be due to scarcity of alternatives</td>
<td>4.25</td>
<td>Agree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leaving this organization would require considerable personal sacrifice.</td>
<td>4.44</td>
<td>Agree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I do not feel any obligation to remain with my organization</td>
<td>4.29</td>
<td>Slightly Agree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Even if it were to my advantage, I do not feel it would be right to leave.</td>
<td>3.51</td>
<td>Agree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I would feel guilty if I left this organization now</td>
<td>3.89</td>
<td>Agree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>This organization deserves my loyalty</td>
<td>4.36</td>
<td>Agree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I would not leave my organization right now because of my sense of obligation</td>
<td>4.42</td>
<td>Agree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I owe a great deal to this organization</td>
<td>4.49</td>
<td>Agree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Affective Commitment Total</td>
<td>3.01</td>
<td>Agree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Continuance Commitment Total</td>
<td>4.26</td>
<td>Agree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Normative Commitment Total</td>
<td>3.80</td>
<td>Agree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Overall Commitment</strong></td>
<td><strong>2.85</strong></td>
<td><strong>Agree</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 3: Relationship between Employees’ Levels of Job Satisfaction and Organizational Commitment

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Organizational Commitment</th>
<th>Total Satisfaction</th>
<th>Pearson r</th>
<th>Sig value</th>
<th>Interpretation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Affective commitment</td>
<td>.671</td>
<td>.000</td>
<td>Significantly Related</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Continuance commitment</td>
<td>-.089</td>
<td>.519</td>
<td>No Significant Relationship</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Normative commitment</td>
<td>.324</td>
<td>.016</td>
<td>Significantly Related</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Commitment</td>
<td>.384</td>
<td>.004</td>
<td>Significantly Related</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Satisfaction and Commitment

Research question 3 asked if there is a significant relationship between levels of job satisfaction and organizational commitment of the employees within the selected tertiary institution in Northwest Haiti.

Table 3 showed that there is a strong positive relationship between satisfaction and affective commitment \((r = .671, p = .000)\) showing that with an increase in satisfaction there is an increase in commitment. Also, there was a weak positive relationship between normative commitment and satisfaction \((r = .324, n = 55, p = .016)\). It was also found that total satisfaction is significantly related with normative commitment \((r = .324, p = .004)\). The result of this study showed that the higher the job satisfaction of the employees, the greater will be their affective and normative commitment. In summary, total satisfaction is significantly related to overall commitment.

So, it is with good reason that the scientific literature admits that of the three dimensions of organizational engagement, affective (emotional) engagement is the most strongly correlated with job satisfaction (Meyer et al., 2002). Allen and Meyer (2000) argue that organizational commitment is a psychological phenomenon regarding the
relationship of the employee with the organization and this may decrease the employee intention to leave the organization. According to Rifai (2005), job satisfaction has a significant impact on the development of emotional commitment through which it has a specific effect on the behavior of organizational citizenship.

Conclusion and Recommendations
This section presents the conclusions of the study and then gives the recommendations.

Conclusions
The study concludes that the employees of the selected tertiary education institution have moderate level of job satisfaction. They are more satisfied by the intrinsic factors rather than the extrinsic ones and they are committed to the organization. However, the main reason they stay is because they believed that they are indebted a lot from their organization and therefore they need to be loyal to it. Lastly, it was found that both affective and normative commitment are significantly related to organizational commitment. This shows that the higher the job satisfaction of the employees, the more committed they become.

Recommendations
Since this study found that there is a significant correlation between job satisfaction and organizational commitment, it is relevant that administrators should look on the avenues to increase job satisfaction levels of their employees. There is a need to focus on factors affecting the extrinsic and intrinsic motivations of the employees so that stronger commitment and greater loyalty may be demonstrated by the teachers.
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